<u>Discussion</u>: Mergers and Acquisitions, Technological Change and Inequality

Ma, Ouimet and Simintzi (2016)

By: Liu Yang, University of Maryland CSEF-EIEF-SITE Conference on Finance and Labor Capri, 2016



# Summary

- A big and extremely important question
  - What is the role of M&A in facilitating technological change and influencing the welfare for workers involved?
- Data
  - M&A intensity by industry or commuting zone in three decades (80s, 90s, 00s)
  - Occupation and wage information from IPUMS (5% extract from ACS)
  - Routine employment share by occupation code



# Findings

- Industries/Commuting Zones with higher M&A intensity experience
  - Decline in share of routine-based occupations
  - Increase in share of college educated workers
  - Increase in average wage
  - Increase in wage dispersion



# **General Comments**

- There are <u>many</u> things to like about this paper
  - Important and very relevant question
  - The perfect blend of finance (M&A) and labor (jobs and wage)!
  - I really enjoyed reading it
- My discussion
  - Where does this paper stand in the literature?
  - What should we interpret the existing results?
  - Suggestions to sharpen the analysis
  - The bigger picture



### Autor and Dorn (2013 AER)



- CZ's with initially higher routine task share
- $\circ \uparrow \uparrow$  in computer adoption
- $\circ \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$  routine task intensive jobs
- $\circ \uparrow$  in mean wage and wage dispersion



# More on Wage Inequality

- Autor and Dorn (2013 AER): Worker flow from routinetask jobs to low-skill service industries
- Goos (2013 AER): Technological change and <u>offshoring</u>
- Diamond (2016 AER): Worker flows driven by <u>local</u> <u>demand shocks</u> and quality of amenities
- Hemous and Olsen (2015): Technological change and horizontal innovation



# **This Paper**

- Autor and Dorn (2013 AER): Worker flow from routinetask jobs to low-skill service industries
- Goos (2013 AER): Technological change and <u>offshoring</u>
- Diamond (2016 AER): Worker flows driven by <u>local</u> <u>demand shocks</u> and quality of amenities
- Hemous and Olsen (2015): Technological change and horizontal innovation

→ Ma, Ouimet and Simintzi (2016): Technological change and mergers and acquisitions



• *"We propose mergers and acquisitions act as a catalyst for skill-biased and routine biased technological change."* 





- *"We propose mergers and acquisitions act as a catalyst for skill-biased and routine biased technological change."*
- <u>Alternative story</u>: M&A is just the consequence of the technology shock
  - Technology shock  $\rightarrow$  Automation
  - − Change of production function → Increase in the optimal scale of the firm
  - If demand is not inelastic, then firms need to consolidate





- *"We propose mergers and acquisitions act as a catalyst for skill-biased and routine biased technological change."*
- <u>Alternative story</u>: M&A is just the consequence of the technology shock
  - Technology shock  $\rightarrow$  Automation
  - − Change of production function → Increase in the optimal scale of the firm
  - If demand is not inelastic, then firms need to consolidate
- <u>Comment #1</u>: A key challenge is to show M&A is a stimulus rather than outcome of technology shock



- The paper conducts analysis both on the community zone and industry level
- What are we comparing in each exercise?



#### **Community-Zone Level Analysis**



#### More on Commuting Zone

 Does it make a difference whether A or T or both A and T are from the same community zone?





- How to reduce the routinetask jobs if A and T are from different commuting zones?
- Can stand-alone firms also reduce routine-task jobs?

#### More on Commuting Zone

 Does it make a difference whether A or T or both A and T are from the same community zone?



 How to reduce the routinetask jobs if A and T are from different commuting zones?



- If A and T are from the same community zone, it would be very challenging to distinguish the story proposed and from the alternative story
- One possible solution is to separate local (restaurants, hotels, etc.) from global industries (software)

#### **Industry Level Analysis**



	Auvantage	LIIIIIdlioII
CZ level: CZ (α) vs. CZ (β)	Control for local demand shocks	Different industry composition and different reasons for M&A
Industry level: Ind #1 vs. Ind #2 (or Ind #3)	Control for industry level changes (demand shocks, technology shocks)	Different local characteristics



- <u>The ideal test:</u> Compare Firm A and Firm B (same CZ and same Ind)
- Data limitation:
  - Snapshot of workers and no information on the firm



<u>Comment #2:</u> Can the authors perform analysis on the CZ-Industry level? For example, compare Ind #1 in CZ ( $\alpha$ ) and CZ( $\beta$ ) adjusted for CZ fixed effect?

## Other Comments: Good-Faith Exceptions

- This paper: Use good-faith exceptions as a proxy for costs of replacing routine-task jobs
- Good-faith exceptions
  - Autor (2003): "the implied covenant <u>bars employers from</u> <u>terminating employees to deprive them of earned benefits</u> such as collecting end-of-year sales bonus or drawing pension..."
  - Only 12 states have implemented the law
  - However, it does not bar employers from firing workers due to redundancy
- Other measures that might be helpful
  - Political power, union membership
  - Unemployment insurance?



### Other Comments:

## **Evidence Concerning Mechanism**

- Effect is larger in high firm size industries → Economies of scale
- Do mergers happen between small or large firms in those industries?
- Effect is larger in periods when credit spreads are higher → Financial constraints
- Much fewer firms engage in M&A when credit spreads are high – desperate mergers to consolidate?
- Effects is larger in industries with high dispersion in productivity → Promoting efficiency
- Results are marginal or not significant, especially on the industry level



- This paper: M&A allows firms to restructure and leads to displacement of occupations in routine-tasks and higher wage inequality.
- I can almost see a catchy (and scary) title in media "Mergers destroy jobs and increases income inequality!!!"
- What is the counterfactual?
  - Without restructuring, many firms would not be able to adopt new technology and will not survive → Even more jobs will be lost
  - Wage dispersion is calculated based on workers who have a fulltime job and does not take into consideration of workers who are unemployed



# Summary

- Extremely meaningful question
- Interesting idea and the perfect blend of finance and labor
- I learned a lot from the paper. Highly recommend it!
- I encourage the authors to further explore ways to eliminate the alternative stories and sharpen the analysis
- Looking forward to the next draft!

